

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/032,378	10/26/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 112	6155
75	90 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo,			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
Cummings & M Suite 2850	Iehler, Ltd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adam	is Street		3739	
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			c
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/032,378	HOOVEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/	<u> 16/04</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ -	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice under			}
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicati			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	• •		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.	
\ttachment(s)			

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

5) 🔲

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. (US 6273887) further in view of Raymond Chia et al. (US 6241726) Yamauchi et al. disclose a device for clamping cardiac tissue comprising a first handle member, a second handle member, first and second mating jaws, a first elongate ablation electrode and a second elongate electrode as illustrated in figure 74b. Yamauchi et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except an EKG sensor. Raymond Chia et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a EKG sensor on the working end of the device to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an EKG sensor on the elongate electrode as claimed to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated.

Claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. and Raymond Chia et al. further in view of Imran

Art Unit: 3739

'860. Yamauchi et al. and Raymond Chia et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the electrodes comprising gold plated cooper and the length and width of the electrodes. Imran discloses an ablation device and teaches that it is old and well known in the art to use gold plated copper as an electrode material for the Yamauchi et al. device, since it has been held to within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, particularly in view of the teaching of Imran.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the electrode according to the dimensions as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum dimensions involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-12 and 14-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

Page 4

RR